UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION U.S

16 JAH	19	PH	2:	46
U.S. DIS HORTHER	STRI HOH AKE	0 T (10 N 10 N	:0U)(\$1	RT 19101

01 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY,) INC.,)	CASE NO. 1:06CV253
PLAINTIFFS,)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
vs.)	JURY VERDICT FORM
CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,)	
DEFENDANTS.)	
It is now your duty to answer the quest	ions presented in this verdict form after due
deliberations. Please answer the questions in t	he order in which they appear.
Question 1: Infringement - Claim 24	
Do you find by a preponderance of the	evidence that GoToMyPC infringes claim 24 of
the 479 Patent?	
Yes (finding for 01 Communique)	ue)
No (finding for Citrix)	
[Skip question 2 if you answer "no" to ques	tion 1]
Question 2: Infringement – Claim 45	
Do you find by a preponderance of the	evidence that GoToMyPC infringes claim 45 of
the 479 Patent?	
Yes (finding for 01 Communique)	ie)
No (finding for Citrix)	

Question 3: Invalidity Based on Anticipation – Claim 24	Question	<u>3</u> :	Invalidity	y Based	on A	nticipat	tion –	Claim :	24	ļ
---	----------	------------	------------	---------	------	----------	--------	---------	----	---

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 24 of the 479 Patent is invalid
for anticipation?
Yes (finding for Citrix)
No (finding for 01 Communique)
If yes, please identify the anticipatory reference(s):
Question 4: Invalidity Other – Claim 24
Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 24 of the 479 Patent is invalid
on any other grounds?
Yes (finding for Citrix)
No (finding for 01 Communique)
If yes, identify the grounds:
Question 5: Invalidity Based on Anticipation - Claim 45
Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 45 of the 479 Patent is invalid
for anticipation?
Yes (finding for Citrix)
No (finding for 01 Communique)
If yes, please identify the anticipatory reference(s):

Case: 1:06-cv-00253-SL Doc #: 570 Filed: 01/19/16 3 of 3. PageID #: 41193

Question 6:	Invalidity	Other-	- Claim	45
-------------	------------	--------	---------	----

Do ye	ou find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 45 of the 479 Patent is invalid
on any other	grounds?
	Yes (finding for Citrix)
	No (finding for 01 Communique)
If yes	s, identify the grounds:
We, each me	ember of the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, each find as set forth above, and
we do so ren	der our verdict on this 19 day of January, 2016.